(32,62,92)-3,6,9-NONADECATRIENE - A COMPONENT OF THE SEX PHEROMONAL SYSTEM OF THE GIANT LOOPER, <u>BOARMIA (ASCOTIS) SELENARIA</u> SCHIFFERMÜLLER (LEPIDOPTERA: GEOMETRIDAE)

D. Becker^{a)*}, T. Kimmel^{a)}, R. Cyjon^{a)}, I. Moore^{b)*}, M. Wysoki^{b)*}, H.J. Bestmann^{c)*}, H. Platz^{c)}, K. Roth^{c)} and O. Vostrowsky^{c)}

a) Department of Chemistry, Technion, Israel, Institute of Technology, Haifa,
 32000, Israel; b) Division of Entomology, Institute of Plant Protection,
 Agricultural Research Organization, The Volcani Center, P.O.B. 6. Bet Dagan,
 50250 Israel; and c) Institute for Organic Chemistry, University Erlangen Nürnberg, D-8520 Erlangen, Henkestr. 42, FRG.

<u>Summary</u> (32,62,92)-3,6,9-Nonadecatriene was identified as a pheromone component from <u>Boarmia selenaria</u> females by means of gas chromatography, GC-coupled mass spectrometry, electroantennography and GC coupled EAG.

<u>Boarmia</u> (Ascotis) <u>selenaria</u> Schiffermüller (Lepidoptera: Geometridae) is a world-wide distributed pest of important crops: coffee in Kenya; tea in India, Japan and USSR (Georgia); peanuts in Madagascar; citrus in South Africa; avocado and pecan in Israel. In Europe it affects lemons in Sicily and alfalfa in Romania and Hungary¹⁾.

Avocado plantations in Israel are protected against insect pests exclusively by means of a biological control programme including <u>Bacillus thurin-</u><u>giensis</u>. Regarding <u>B. selenaria</u>, this programme is targeted on the young larvae only, the older ones becoming increasingly insensitive to the bacterial preparations used. Therefore, accurate monitoring is imperative. Thus-far, this is being done through the use of tethered virgin females obtained from a laboratory colony², a cumbersome, expensive and sometimes erratic procedure. Because of this, research was undertaken with a view to replace females as attractants by the pheromone(s) they produce. To the best of our knowledge, the pheromone of only one geometrid, <u>Operophtera brumata</u> L., has been identified so far³.

Pheromone extraction from <u>Boarmia selenaria</u>, which was reared on artificial diet, was carried out as follows: The ovipositor, extruded by pressing the female's abdomen, was cut off in the middle of the 7th segment; it was then homogenized in methylene chloride.

The crude extract was filtered through a short celite column using methylene chloride as solvent, and then separated by two methods: GC and LC. On GC it was divided into 20 fractions by partitioning on 2 columns: SE-30 8%, 4 m (column A) and carbowax 20 M 15%, 2 m (column B). Two active fractions 5506

were identified by EAG activity tested according to Moore⁴; fraction I t_R 19-24 min and 5-9 min on column A and B, respectively, and fraction II 48-53 min and 19-24 on A and B, respectively. LC separation was carried out on silica gel⁵: again two EAG-active fractions were distinguished; they were identical to I and II above. According to the polarity of the eluents, it was concluded that I was a hydrocarbon. Treatment of the total extract with bromine in CCl₄ cancelled EAG activity indicating that the hydrocarbon is unsaturated.

A subsequent gaschromatographic analysis of fraction I using a 25m UCON HB glass capillary column and a male <u>Boarmia</u> antenna as the biological detector (EAD-detector⁶) resulted in one signal with biological activity, eluted at a retention time of a C_{19} -hydrocarbon with more than two double bonds.

A series of unsaturated hydrocarbons was tested by EAG: the most active was (3Z, 6Z, 9Z) - 3, 6, 9-nonadecatriene (<u>1</u>) which was synthesized in the following way: the starting compound was 1-undecyne (<u>2</u>), the magnesium acetylenide of which was coupled with the tosylate of propargylic alcohol (<u>3</u>). The resulting 1,4-tetradecadiyne (<u>4</u>) [bp. $125^{\circ}/25$ Torr (bath temp.), yield 87%; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.89$ (t,3H), 1.30 (mc,14H), 2.06(t,1H), 2.20(mc,2H), 3.17(dt,2H); MS(70eV): M⁺ = 190] was converted into its magnesium salt and alkylated with oxirane (<u>5</u>) according to the formula scheme below.

Formula scheme



This gave rise to the formation of 3,6-hexadecadiyn-1-ol (6) [bp. $134-138^{\circ}/$ 0.35 Torr, yield 47%; ¹H-NMR(CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.90$ (t,3H), 1.30 (mc,14H), 2.03 (mc, 1H), 2.17 (mc,2H), 2.46 (tt,2H), 3.16 (quin,2H), 3.73 (t,2H)] which was partially hydrogenated to the corresponding (Z,Z)-alkadienol <u>7</u> [bp. $130-132^{\circ}/0.4$ Torr (bath temp.), yield 84%; ¹H-NMR(CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.89$ (t,3H), 1.28 (mc,14H), 1.57 (br,1H) 1.80-2.20 (m,2H), 2.39 (br q,2H), 2.83 (mc,2H), 3.67 (t,2H), 5.25-5.70 (mc,4H)] using P-2 nickel catalyst⁷. Reacting <u>7</u> with tetrabromo-

methane afforded (32,62)-3,6-hexadecadienyl bromide (8) bp. 115-120°C/0.05 Torr (bath temp.), yield 88% which was converted into the iodo compound 9 with NaJ/acetone, yield 83%. The crude product 9 was treated with triphenyl phosphane (10) and the resulting hexadecadienyl(triphenyl)phosphonium iodide (11) oily, yield 52% converted into its corresponding ylide according to the silazide technique⁸⁾. The final Wittig olefination with propanal (12) gave (32,62,92)-3,6,9-nonadecatriene (1), as shown in the formula scheme [bp. 110-130°/0.05 Torr (bath temp.), yield 68%, ¹H-NMR(CDCl₃): $\ddot{b} = 0.86$ (t,3H), 0.98 (t,3H), 1.29 (mc,14H), 1.80-2.30 (m,4H), 2.82 (mc,4H), 5.38 (mc,6H); MS(70 eV): M^+ = 262; IR (100%): 3020, 1652, 720 cm⁻¹]. The synthetic nonadecatriene 1 had the same retention time as fraction I on the preparative GC columns A and B as well as the biologically active compound found by the EAG-gaschromatography. A subsequent GCMS analysis (Finnigan Quadrupole MS with data system) of fraction I resulted in the identification of a hydrocarbon, the retention time (glass capillary column, $t_p = 18'26"$) as well as the mass spectrum (Fig. 1) being identical with



those of the synthetic nonadecatriene <u>1</u>. The GCMS, the EAG-GC analysis and the electrophysiological activity unequivocally prove that $(3\underline{z}, 6\underline{z}, 9\underline{z}) - 3, 6, 9 -$ nonadecatriene is one of the two components of the <u>Boarmia selenaria</u>

pheromone system. An EAG dose/response test was carried out comparing various amounts of $\underline{1}$ to one female equivalent (FE) extract with the following results:

Amount ^a	Blank	0.01	l FE	0.1	1.0	10.0	100.0
Mean EAG (mV [±] SE) ^b	0.56 0.03	1.35 0.22	2.24 0.50	3.58 0.71	4.39 0.68	6.00 1.25	8.18 1.30
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••							

^ain μ g on Al-foil rolls. ^b 4 antennae in series; 3 replicates. The data were used to obtain a linear regression equation according to Steven's Power Law⁹; from this it was estimated that 1 FE corresponds to about 46 ng of 1.

Preliminary field tests are being carried out on the attractance of compound 1. The second pheromonal component is under investigation.

References:

- 1) M. Wysoki, Phytoparasitica 10, 65 (1982).
- 2) M. Wysoki, E. Swirski and Y. Izhar, Protection Ecology 3, 25 (1981).
- 3) a) H.J. Bestmann, T. Brosche, K.H. Koschatzky, K. Michaelis, H. Platz,
 K. Roth, J. Süß, O. Vostrowsky and W. Knauf, Tetrahedron Lett. 23, 4007 (1982);

b) W.L. Roelofs, A.S. Hill, C.E. Linn, J. Meinwald, S.C. Jain, H.J. Herbert and R.F. Smith, Science 217, 657 (1982).

- 4) I. Moore, J. Chem. Ecol. 7, 791 (1981).
- H.J. Bestmann, O. Vostrowsky, K.H. Koschatzky, H. Platz, A. Szymanska and W. Knauf, Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 605.
- 6) H. Arn, E. Städler and S. Rauscher, Z. Naturforsch. 30c, 722 (1975).
- 7) C.A. Brown and K.K. Ahuja, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1973, 553.
- 8) H.J. Bestmann, W. Stransky and O. Vostrowsky, Chem. Ber. <u>109</u>, 1694 (1976).
- 9) S.S. Stevens, Psychol. Review <u>64</u>, 153 (1957).

(Received in Germany 22 September 1983)